

Adoption and impact of clinical decision support tools targeting social risk-informed care provision: Trial results

Rachel Gold, PhD, MPH SIREN 2025

KAISER PERMANENTE.

OCHIN

A driving force for health equity

UCSF

University of California San Francisco advancing health worldwide^{**}



COHERE – Trial Methods (continued)

- This study has been approved and reviewed by the Kaiser Permanente Interregional Institutional Review Board.
- This study used electronic health record (EHR) data from the Accelerating Data Value Across a National Community Health Center Network (ADVANCE) Clinical Research Network (CRN), a member of PCORnet[®]. ADVANCE is a multicenter collaborative led by OCHIN in partnership with Fenway Health, Health Choice Network, Oregon Health & Science University, and University of Washington.



AISER PERMANENTE **Center for Health Research**







COHERE Overview

COntextualized care in cHcs' Electronic health REcords

- 5-year study funded by National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities (R01MD014886)
- Led by Laura Gottlieb, MD MPH (UCSF) and Rachel Gold, PhD MPH (Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research & OCHIN)

Study Goal

Develop and test clinical decision support (CDS) tools that recommend care plan adaptations, aka adjustments, that account for patients' social risks.







COHERE – authors, this presentation

Brenda M. McGrath¹, Rachel Gold^{1,2}, Jenna Donovan¹, Shelby L. Watkins¹, Maura Pisciotta¹, Arwen Bunce¹, Suzanne Morrissey¹, Mary Middendorf¹, Christina R. Sheppler², Anna C. Edelmann², Michael C. Leo², Danielle Hessler-Jones³, Laura Gottlieb³

- ¹OCHIN, Inc., Portland, OR, United States
- ²Kaiser Permanente Center for Health Research, Portland, OR
- ³Department of Family and Community Medicine, UCSF







COHERE – Background

As healthcare providers increasingly document social determinants of health (social risk) screening results in EHRs ...

- How do we use this information to improve health outcomes?
- Do EHR-based clinical decision support tools improve:
 - Social risk-related care plan adjustments?
 - Chronic disease management?







COHERE – Objectives

Test adoption and impact of EHR-embedded tools designed to help primary care teams:

- Document social risks
- Apply social risk information in care planning adjustment for patients with uncontrolled hypertension and/or diabetes
- Document adjustments







COHERE – Trial Methods

- Clinic-randomized pragmatic trial
 - 6 community clinics received the tools; 44 randomized control clinics
 - All members of OCHIN national network of community clinics sharing one EHR
 - 3 sites modest implementation support
- Clinic-level outcomes in the year post-tool activation:
 - Primary: Rates of BP and HbA1c control
 - Secondary: Rates of social risk screening & documentation in problem list / visit diagnosis; medication adherence documentation (the tools' action targets)
- Generalized linear mixed models (GLMMs)
- Qualitative-forward **realist evaluation** of how, why and for whom the tools did/did not support the use of social risk information in care planning







an Francisco

COHERE - Tools Overview

Co-designed with CHC staff to help CHC care teams:

- Adjust hypertension and diabetes care management to support patients experiencing social barriers to follow their care plans
- **Document** these adjustments

Clinical information

- Uncontrolled hypertension
- Uncontrolled diabetes
- High no-show rate







COHERE - Tools Overview (continued)

- **SDH Screening Alert:** Screening is due; links to EHR's screening interface
- **Z-code Alert:** Add social risk Z-codes to patient record?; enables doing so
- Medication Adherence Alert: Prompt document medication adherence; enables documenting why meds not taken as recommended, i.e. cost
- In-line Medication Alert: Highlights potential medication cost barriers; facilitates ordering lower-cost medications
- **SmartList:** Supports rapid documentation of patient-care team discussions re: care plan adaptations a tailored checklist of potential topics







COHERE – Implementation Support

- To assess tool adoption and impact in a 'real-world' situation, all 6 intervention CHCs received:
 - One hour of training on the tools from an OCHIN EHR trainer in a virtual format
 - Monthly reports on how often the tools were used
- 3 of the 6 intervention clinics were randomly selected to meet with the study team midway through the study period to review tool use rates and discuss how to increase them







COHERE – Results: Tool Use - Unadjusted

	Intervention Clinics		Control Clinics	
	Eligible visit	Action	Eligible visit	Action
	N	taken %	Ν	taken %
Social risk screening alert	17732	8.5%	74602	10.6%
Document social risk alert (Z-code)	6717	21.3%	48835	8.5%
Medication adherence alert	20682	71.1%	136630	79.0%

KAISER PERMANENTE.

ΟϹΗΙΝ





COHERE – Results: Tool Use - Unadjusted

	Intervention Clinics
	Times used
In-line medication alerts	
Alert - med not available as generic	598
Reminder - discuss titrating insulin based on food availability	153
Prompt - ask re barriers to taking meds	507
Prompt - consider pt preference for 30 or 90-day rx	2462
Note to pharmacy	1509
Use of Smartlist	215

KAISER PERMANENTE.

ΟϹΗΙΝ





COHERE – Results: *Adjusted* Effects, Clinical Outcomes

	Intervention Marginal Effect ⁺		
	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P-value	
Blood Pressure Control			
Intervention Group	1.60 (0.98, 2.63)	0.06	
Hemoglobin A1c Control			
Intervention Group	1.07 (0.70, 1.64)	0.76	







University of California San Francisco

COHERE – Results: *Adjusted* Effects, Care Process Outcomes

	Adjusted Probabilities [†]		Intervention Marginal Effect ⁺	
	Intervention	Control	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P-value
Completed social risk screening	3.8%	0.5%	7.3 (1.5, 36.0)	0.01
Social risks Z-code documentation	16.9%	1.6%	11.3 (3.1, 40.7)	0.0002
Medication adherence documentation	66.5%	80.9%	0.47 (0.12, 1.90)	0.28
kaiser Permanente.	00	UCSF		

COHERE – Discussion and Implications (continued)

- Unable to assess how often staff:
 - *acted on* care plan adaptations in the SmartList
 - *responded to* in-line medication alerts
- SmartList almost never used to document such adjustments
- What to do? Low rates of decision support tool use are common; interventions targeting tool use are resource-intensive
- Known barriers specific to tools targeting social risk care concur with findings hard to turn social risk screening into clinical action









COHERE – Discussion and Implications (continued)

- Community clinic staff already manage many tasks; adjustment documentation may be a burden even with tools designed by future users!
- Qualitative results → staff say they already adjust care plans, no need to document such standard care
- Further optimizing the tools' usability and their integration into workflows **might** increase their success in improving health outcomes ... or might not

OCHIN





COHERE – Discussion and Implications (continued)

- Findings useful first study of such tools in community clinics
- Such tools have potential, but unclear until more widely adopted.
- Should we further study how to make such tools useful / support their adoption?
- More innovative strategies?
- Overall: Tools have both real potential and real limitations







COHERE – Acknowledgments

The research reported in this work was powered by PCORnet[®]. PCORnet has been developed with funding from the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute[®] (PCORI[®]) and conducted with the Accelerating Data Value Across a National Community Health Center Network (ADVANCE) Clinical Research Network (CRN). ADVANCE is a Clinical Research Network in PCORnet[®] led by OCHIN in partnership with Health Choice Network, Fenway Health, University of Washington, and Oregon Health & Science University. ADVANCE's participation in PCORnet[®] is funded through the PCORI Award RI-OCHIN-01-MC.

Kaiser Permanente.





Thank you

goldr@ochin.org

OCHIN

A driving force for health equity
www.ochin.org