Community Resource Referral Platforms: A Guide for Health Care Organizations

Executive Summary

Yuri Cartier, MPH Caroline Fichtenberg, PhD Laura Gottlieb, MD, MPH

April 16, 2019



Commissioned by the Episcopal Health Foundation, Methodist Healthcare Ministries of South Texas, Inc., and St. David's Foundation.



Executive Summary

Over the past several years, a dizzying array of new technology platforms have emerged with the shared aim of enabling health care organizations to more easily identify and refer patients to social service organizations. This guide was developed to help safety net health care organizations understand the landscape of these community resource referral platforms and learn from early adopters' experiences using them. The information in this guide is based on interviews with representatives from 39 organizations, nearly all in health care, that were using one of these platforms, supplemented by product information provided by nine platform vendors on the market in 2018. In this document you will find:

- Descriptions of community resource referral platform functionalities sought by 39 organizations and the ways nine products provide these functionalities. The nine platforms are:
 - Aunt Bertha
- Healthify
- CharityTracker
 - CrossTx
- NowPow
- One Degree
 - $\circ \quad \text{Unite Us} \\$

 \cap

0

Pieces Iris

TAVConnect (TAVHealth)

- A side-by-side comparison table and detailed profiles of the features of these nine platforms.
- Implementation lessons learned and recommendations from user organizations.

Key functionalities

0

The following table summarizes the key functionalities and vendor characteristics that user organizations most often looked for in these platforms (see side-by-side comparison table on <u>pages 5-7</u> and product profiles on <u>in the full report</u> for details).

Functionality	Description
Primary Functionalities	
Resource directory	A searchable, regularly-updated directory of communi- ty-based organizations and agencies providing services that can help address patients' social needs
Referral management	The ability to send referrals to community organizations and to track referral outcomes (i.e., close the loop)
Other Functionalities & Chara	cteristics
Privacy protection	Compliance with HIPAA and other privacy regulations
Systems integration	The ability to seamlessly move from the referral platform to the electronic health record (EHR) and vice versa, and to automatically transfer data between the two systems

Care coordination/case management	Longitudinal needs and care tracking, ability to define care goals and see referrals, services and other activities
Reporting and analytics	The capacity to analyze social needs screening and referral activities and outcomes
Social needs screening	The capacity to record patients' responses to a questionnaire and identify social needs
Auto-suggested resources	The ability to tailor resource lists to the patients' social needs screening results and/or other data
Vendor responsiveness and capacity	The vendor's willingness and ability to tailor the product to users' needs The perceived capacity of the vendor to provide the desired level of product support

Note that the product functionality information presented in this report is primarily based on information provided by vendors themselves, not on independent product testing. In addition, this document represents a snapshot in time of a sector that is changing rapidly. We therefore recommend verifying the information before making decisions. Further, since the vendors and products are all relatively new to the health care market, little information currently exists in the public domain about product effectiveness. Lastly, **this report does not constitute a product endorsement or recommendation by the University of California, San Francisco (UCSF), Social Interventions Research and Evaluation Network (SIREN), Episcopal Health Foundation, Methodist Healthcare Ministries of South Texas, or St. David's Foundation**.

User experiences

Nearly all users expressed satisfaction with the platforms they selected, even though very few had yet been able to fully implement the closed-loop referrals and systems integration functionalities. Nearly all users found that implementation was taking longer than expected, likely due to the fact that both the users and the vendors are still learning about how best to implement and use these kinds of products.

Regardless of the platform used, platform implementation challenges centered on the following issues:

- Ensuring information in the community resource directory was complete, relevant, and up to date;
- Establishing effective workflows, including protocols about who would use the platform and when, and getting staff and patients to use the platform;
- Developing privacy policies and procedures to govern data sharing with social service organizations;
- Convincing social service organizations to use the platform for referral tracking

(barriers included lack of capacity and lack of incentives); andSetting up seamless use and EHR integration.

Recommendations

Based on users' experiences, the following are platform selection and implementation recommendations:

- 1. **Engage community partners from the beginning**. Successful implementation of closed-loop referrals and a coordinated referral network depends on successful engagement of the organizations that will be part of the system. Buy-in and collaboration are easiest to establish if health care organizations reach out to community-based partners prior to selecting a platform and work closely with partners to understand how the platform can help them achieve shared objectives.
- 2. Examine what already exists in the community to avoid duplication and proliferation of redundant platforms. If the ultimate goal is to create a more coordinated health care and social services delivery system, all organizations in a community, including all health care organizations, have an incentive to use the same platform, or at least to use platforms that can easily share information.
- 3. Have a clear understanding of your goals and needs. Consider the kinds of assistance that will help patients the most; what staff will be needed to provide that assistance; the information system requirements to support the care team; and the external partners necessary for the system to work.
- 4. **Don't assume that if you build it they will use it**. Involve desired end users in clarifying your goals and needs and identify champions who will lead end users through what will likely be a bumpy implementation process.
- 5. **Compare costs and user experiences**. Although the products we examined provided very similar functionalities, they sometimes varied substantially in cost. Talk to other organizations that have implemented these products to better understand strengths of different products and vendors.
- 6. **Know that this work takes time**. Nearly all informants found that the process of implementing a community referral platform took longer than anticipated. These are new products that require developing and implementing new workflows. Build learning time into the product implementation plan.
- 7. **Evaluate the impact**. Relatively little information exists to date about the impact of implementing one of these platforms. Measure the impact of platform use on patient health, patient and care team satisfaction with care, and health care costs and share that information publicly so that the sector as a whole can learn.

Download the full report.

Features	Aunt Bertha	CharityTracker	CrossTx	Healthify	NowPow	One Degree	Pieces Iris	TAVConnect	Unite Us
Version	Enterprise Platform	CharityTracker	CrossTx	Coordinate	PowRx	One Degree Premium	Pieces Iris	TAVConnect	Unite Us
Resource Directory									
Туре	Comprehensive	Focused	Focused	Comprehensive	Comprehensive	Comprehensive	Comprehensive	Focused	Focused
Vetting	Vendor, with input on additional resources by customer, CBO, or end users	Customer	Customer	Vendor, with additional inclusion criteria sug- gested by customer	Vendor and customer	Vendor and customer	Customer and vendor	Customer and vendor	Customer and vendor
Maintenance	By vendor; every 180 days	By participating organizations and by network administra- tor, as needed	By participating orga- nizations, as needed	By vendor; every 90 - 180 days.	By vendor; every 180 days	By vendor every 180 days for most	By participating orga- nizations as needed and by vendor every 180 days	By vendor every 180 days	Ongoing by partici- pating organizations or coordination center
User flagging	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Screening									
Built-in social needs screening tools	PRAPARE, AHC, and more	No	Yes	PRAPARE, AHC, WE CARE, Healthify propri- etary tool, and more	PRAPARE, AHC, and more	4 domain-specific tools	Question bank by domain	Vendor-designed screening tool; PRAPARE; library of 120+ assessments	PRAPARE, AHC, Health Leads, DPP, proprietary tool; oth- ers supported
Customization	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Responsive recom- mendations	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Search Options									
Categories	10 major categories 300+ service types Created and uses Open Eligibility tax- onomy	Custom categories and service types	Custom categories and service types	13 major categories 326 service types 121 eligibility types Open Referral interop- erable	23 major categories 250 service types	9 service areas, catego- ries 200+ granular tags Created and uses Social Services Data Standards	25 customizable cat- egories - also by service types	Uses AIRS taxonomy	20 major categories 150+ service types Mapped to AIRS taxonomy, ICD-10 Z codes, and Open Re- ferral Interoperable.
Search fields	Coverage area, Service Category, Free-text search, including service description, service name, provider name, etc. Additional search tool configuration.	Service category, Ser- vice provider name, Service description	Location, Service pro- vider name, Service description	Location, Search radius, Service Category, Eligi- bility Category, Region- al Results, Preferred Status, Network Status	Location, Search radi- us, Service Category, Condition algorithms	Location, Service provider name, Need, Service description, Service category, Pro- gram eligibility, Hours of operation. Additional custom search fields where relevant.	Program name, Need, Service category	Social category, Lo- cation, Service area, Eligibility criteria, and others	Service Category, Lo- cation, Search Radius, Program Eligibility, Hours of operation. Additional custom search fields where relevant.
Filters	200+ filters	2 filters	10+ filters	6 filters	11 filters	5 filters	3 filters	10 filters	10 filters
User favorites	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
End users	Staff Public	Staff Public (add-on fee)	Staff	Staff Patients (through API integration with Patient/ Member portal) Public (white-labeled site)	Staff Patients	Public Patients Staff	Staff	Staff Patients (in app, web portal, and/or API integration with pa- tient/member portal)	Staff Patients Public

5

siren

sirenetwork.ucsf.edu

Features	Aunt Bertha	CharityTracker	CrossTx	Healthify	NowPow	One Degree	Pieces Iris	TAVConnect	Unite Us
Version	Enterprise Platform	CharityTracker	CrossTx	Coordinate	PowRx	One Degree Premium	Pieces Iris	TAVConnect	Unite Us
Referral									
Referral-sharing modes	Print, Email	Print	Provider-facing	Print, Email, Text	Print, Email, Text	Print, Email, Text	Print, Email	Email, App	Print, Email, Text
Benefit enrollment	No	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	No
Social service refer- ral notification	Email, Text	Email, In-application	Email	Email, In-application	In-application	Email, Text	Email, In-application	Email, In-application	Email, In-application
Referral tracking	Referral-sending staff Receiving agency	Receiving agency	Referral-sending staff Receiving agency	Referral-sending staff Receiving agency	Referral-sending staff Receiving agency	Referral-sending staff Patient Receiving agency	Receiving agency	Receiving agency Patient (in MyTAV app)	Senders, recipients, patients, and other patient care teams in the network.
Longitudinal case management	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Reporting/analytics									
Built-in reporting	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Custom reports	On request	On request	Directly via Tableau	On request	On request	On request	On request	Directly via Looker	Via BI Tool and on request
Data export formats	CSV, data warehouse API	CSV	CSV, HL7, JSON	HL7, API, CSV to SFTP	On request	On request	CSV, XLS	CSV, SFTP, secure email	CSV
Languages	Built-in Google Translate with enhanced native Spanish translation; Non-machine trans- lations for screen- ing tools upon request	No translation at this time	Available upon request	Built-in Google Translate. Non-ma- chine translation for screening tools upon request.	Arabic, Mandarin, Polish, Somali, Spanish includ- ed for resources. Screening tool translation upon request.	Spanish	Spanish	Spanish (available in MyTAV patient app only)	Available upon request
EHR integration									
Direction	Bidirectional Module	Not currently	Bidirectional Module	Bidirectional Module (directory)	Bidirectional Module	Bidirectional; EHR inte- gration available but not implemented	Bidirectional Module	Bidirectional	Bidirectional Module
Supported integration standards & interface	APIs, HL7, SMART on FHIR, web services, others upon request	API in development	HL7, APIs, FHIR	HL7, APIs	HL7, vendor APIs, web services	APIs	HL7, FHIR, APIs	HL7, FHIR, X12, ven- dor APIs, others upon request	APIs, SMART on FHIR
SSO	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time to deploy	0-3 months	1-2 months	0-3 months	Directory: 1 month Closed-loop: 4 - 6 months	2-3 months	0-1 months	1-3 months	3 months	1-3 months
			iron						enetwork ucsf edu

sirenetwork.ucsf.edu

Features	Aunt Bertha	CharityTracker	CrossTx	Healthify	NowPow	One Degree	Pieces Iris	TAVConnect	Unite Us
Version	Enterprise Platform	CharityTracker	CrossTx	Coordinate	PowRx	One Degree Premium	Pieces Iris	TAVConnect	Unite Us
Cost structure	One-time build fee Monthly fee for unlimited number of users Add-ons: custom insights, EHR integra- tion, live-chat search help	One-time build fee Per seat license Add-ons: customiza- tions at hourly rate	One-time Integration Fees Per seat license with volume discounts	One-time fees Enterprise and network licensing	One-time fees Per seat license Other pricing: con- tact vendor	One-time fees Monthly fee for unlimit- ed users	One-time implemen- tation Annual enterprise SaaS fees	Enterprise: One-time build fee, unlimited licenses, ongoing PMPM CBOs: Low to no monthly subscription	Licensing Included: implemen- tation costs, ongoing account management and tech support, and network growth
Estimated cost for the full feature version	\$3500/month for unlimited users, plus one-time onboarding fee of \$8000	\$324/user/year; volume discounts available	\$45/user/month; volume discounts available	Pricing will range based on client requirements and size; contact ven- dor	\$95/user/month; volume discounts available	Contact vendor	For a CBO or clinic: \$2500-5000/year For a health system + partner CBOs: \$50- 125,000/year	Contact vendor	Varies based on size and license seats.
Vendor profile									
Status	For-profit	For-profit	For-profit	For-profit	For-profit	Non-profit	For-profit	For-profit	For-profit
Founded	2010	2006	2010	2013	2015	2012	2015	2011	2013
Geographic reach	50 states	46 states	31 states	50 states	7 states	2 states	4 states	10 states	20 states

Feature Descriptior					
Version	The name of the version that is described in this table. When several product lines exist, the full feature version is described.	Search options User favorites	Can users keep a preferred list of favorite resources? Can users make comments on listings that other users can see? Can users 'send' a resource listing to another user?	SSO	Do they sup
Resource directory <i>Type</i>	Comprehensive: the directory is intended to include all available resources in a geograph- ical area, often drawing upon web-scraping, partnerships with existing resource directories and any lists kept by the customer's staff. Can contain one or more focused networks of active referral partners. Focused: the directory consists of the customer's partners	End users	Who can search for resources? Is it just the staff users, or is there a patient-facing portal that can be used via kiosk or tablet without creating an account? Is there a public portal?	EHR integration Direction	Can patient Can referra module insi
Vetting process	Who determines if a resource is appropriate for inclusion? Possible answers: the vendor, the customer. The vendor may offer it as an optional service.	Referral Referral modes	How can patients see the list of referrals?	Supported inte- gration standards & interface	Includes da
Maintenance	Who scans resource listings to ensure they are up-to-date? How is information updated: web searching, calling or even visiting the agency? If the vendor does it, how often is the resource verified? Can users flag resources in need of update or removal to the vendor in real time?	Benefit enrollment	Can patients apply for public benefits within the platform?	Time to deploy	How long w
Screening Built-in Social Needs Screening Tools	e.g., PRAPARE, AHC, Health Leads, WE CARE	Referral Social service referral notification	How does the social service provider receive notification of a patient referral?	Cost structure	Do any one member (b encompass
Customization	The ability to add custom screening tools/assessments.	Closed-loop process	Who can signal that the patient has connected with the resource?	Estimated cost for the shown version	See vendor
Responsive recommendations	Recommends resources based on responses to screening questions	Longitudinal case management	Is there a way to view the history of a patient's screening results/recorded needs, assistance received and interactions? Can members of the care team communicate with each other?	Vendor profile <i>Status</i>	Is the vendo
Search options Categories	How comprehensive of social needs categories? Are resources categorized by the needs addressed and services provided? How granular are the needs? Can they be customized?	Reporting/analytics	Does the platform have a set of reports the customer can generate? Can the custom- er build their own reports? What data export methods are available?	Founded	What year v
Filters	How can you restrict what results are shown? We only show the number of filters; for list, see vendor profile	Languages	What is translated and into which languages? Note: Google Translate contains > 100 languages	Geographic reach	How many s multi-state o

support single sign-on?

ient data and/or screening results be pulled into the platform from the EHR? erral data be pulled into the EHR from the platform? Is the platform available as a inside the EHR?

data standards e.g. HL7, FHIR and interfaces e.g. APIs

ng would it take them to set up with a new client?

one-time fees apply? What is the ongoing fee structure? Options: PMPM: Per r (beneficiary) per month; License: Per seat (user); Enterprise: Per entity, may bass CBO users; Network/Region: For an entire network of entities

dor profile for complete price list.

ndor a for-profit or non-profit corporation?

ar was the vendor founded?

ny states does the vendor have customers in? Note that some platforms have te or national customers.

sirenetwork.ucsf.edu

About SIREN

Our mission is to catalyze and disseminate high quality research that advances efforts to identify and address social risks in health care settings.

SIREN projects are focused on:

- Catalyzing high quality research to fill evidence gaps;
- Collecting, summarizing, and disseminating research resources and findings to researchers and other industry stakeholders;
- Increasing capacity to evaluate SDH interventions by providing evaluation, research, and analytics consultation services to safety-net and mission-aligned health systems.

Visit <u>our website</u> or contact us at <u>siren@ucsf.edu</u>.

Suggested Citation

Cartier Y, Fichtenberg C, & Gottlieb L. Community Resource Referral Platforms: A Guide for Health Care Organizations. San Francisco, CA: SIREN; 2019: Available at: <u>https://sirenetwork.ucsf.edu/tools-resources/resources/community-resource-referral-platforms-a-guide-for-health-care-organizations</u>.



sirenetwork.ucsf.edu | siren@ucsf.edu | @SIREN_UCSF

Report design by Stephanie Chernitskiy Copyright 2019 | SIREN at the University of California, San Francisco